

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: [spio-gsic.goa@nic.in](mailto:spio-gsic.goa@nic.in) website: [www.gsic.goa.gov.in](http://www.gsic.goa.gov.in)

---

**Appeal No. 233/2023/SCIC**

Smt. Ashwini Alisha Fernandes,  
427/1, 4<sup>th</sup> Ward Colva,  
Salcete-Goa 403708.

.....Appellant

**V/S**

Shri. Yogesh B. Faterpekar,  
The Public Information Officer,  
Village Panchayat of Sernabatim,  
Vanelim, Colva, Gandaulim,  
Colva-Goa 403708.

.....Respondent

**Shri. Vishwas Satarkar**

State Chief Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 03/07/2023**

**Decided on: 22/08/2023**

**ORDER**

1. The Appellant, Smt. Ashwini Alisha Fernandes r/o. 427/1, 4<sup>th</sup> Ward, Colva, Salcete-Goa vide her application dated 05/04/2023, filed under Section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as Act) sought certain information from the Public Information Officer, (PIO), Village Panchayat Sernabatim, Vanelim, Colva and Gandaulim, Salcete-Goa.
2. Since the said application was not responded by the PIO within stipulated time, deeming the same as refusal, the Appellant filed first appeal before the Block Development Officer on 08/05/2023, under Section 19(1) of the Act, being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
3. The FAA vide its order dispose off the first appeal on 06/06/2023.
4. Aggrieved and not satisfied with the order of the FAA dated 06/06/2023, the Appellant landed before the Commission by this second appeal under section 19(3) of the Act.

5. Notices were issued to the parties, pursuant to which the Appellant, Smt. Ashwini A. Fernandes appeared in person on 22/08/2023, the PIO, Shri. Yogesh B. Faterpekar appeared on 22/08/2023 and submitted that he has furnished all the available information to the Appellant in the first appeal proceeding before the FAA.
6. The Appellant contended that, the PIO failed to furnish the copy of the photographs taken during the site inspection on 03/04/2023. The PIO submitted that no photographs were taken at the time of site inspection as such no copy of photographs is available in the records of the public authority.
7. Considering the above, the Appellant stated that she does not wish to proceed further with the matter. She also made endorsement on the appeal memo that "I would not like to proceed with the matter."
8. In view of the above endorsement of the Appellant, the matter is disposed off.
  - Proceeding closed.
  - Pronounced in the open court.
  - Notify the parties.

Sd/-  
**(Vishwas R. Satarkar)**  
State Chief Information Commissioner,